Application No.: 10/755,720

Filing Date:

January 12, 2004

REMARKS

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Borin for the courtesy extended to Raymond Smith in

discussing the Office Communication by telephone. While Applicants have elected not to pursue

the subject matter discussed during the telephonic interview, Applicants reserve the right to

pursue such subject matter at a later date, either in the present application in a continuing

application to be filed at a later date.

The following remarks are in response to the Office Communication dated August 12,

2008. The Examiner indicated in the Office Communication that Claims 2, 5, 14, 15 and 17

were pending. The limitation of Claim 17 is incorporated into Claim 2 and Claim 17 is canceled.

Thus, Claims 2, 5, 14 and 15 are presently pending. No new matter has been added herewith.

The following addresses the substance of the Office Action.

**Elected Invention** 

The presently pending claims no longer require a method step not required in the claims

originally elected. Additionally, the subject matter presently being claimed has clear support in

the specification as filed in Original Claim 17. Accordingly, examination on the merits of the

presently pending claims is respectfully requested.

**Definiteness** 

Claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In

particular, the claim was said to lack antecedent basis because the base Claim 1 did not address

"inflammatory disease." Claim 15 was previously amended in the response submitted on May

16, 2008 to be dependent on Claim 14, which provides antecedent basis for an inflammatory

disease or condition. Support for the amendment is found in the Specification as filed at page 4,

paragraph [0016]. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request removal of the rejection.

**Enablement** 

Claims 1-5, 14 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because the

Examiner alleged that the specification is only enabling for particular liver X receptor (LXR)

agonists. Applicants have amended Claim 2 to incorporate the limitation of Claim 17 (i.e.,

wherein the LXR agonist is GW3965 or T0901317. In view of this amendment, the Applicants

respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

-4-

Application No.: 10/755,720 Filing Date:

January 12, 2004

**Novelty** 

Claims 1-5 and 14 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by any of

Elias et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,184,215), Song et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,078,396), and Martin et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 7,115,640). The cited references teach the use of agonists of liver X receptors

for treating inflammation, including atherosclerosis.

To be anticipatory under 35 U.S.C. § 102, a reference must teach each and every element

of the claimed invention. See Hybritech Inc. v. Monoclonal Antibodies, Inc., 802 F.2d 1367,

1379 (Fed.Cir. 1986). "[A]nticipation requires that all of the elements and limitations of the

claim are found within a single prior art reference." See Scripps Clinic & Research Foundation

v. Genentech, Inc., 927 F.2d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1991). However, none of the cited references

disclose the use of GW3965 and T0901317. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request

removal of the rejection.

Nonobviousness

Claims 1-5 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Ohlsson et al.

1996 Clin Invest 98:78-89, as evidenced by Elias et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,184,315). Ohlsson et

al. teaches that oxysterols inhibit binding of transcription factor AP-1 to DNA in macrophages,

and thus reduces inflammatory response of macrophages. As indicated above, the claims have

been amended to refer to the specific LXR agonists, GW3965 or T0901317, as formerly recited

in Claim 17. There is no suggestion in the cited prior art that these specific agonists would have

any effect in the claimed method steps. The Examiner appears to have recognized this deficiency

in not including former Claim 17 in this rejection. As such, withdrawal of the rejection as it

applies to the presently pending claims is respectfully requested.

No Disclaimers or Disavowals

Although the present communication may include alterations to the application or claims,

or characterizations of claim scope or referenced art, the Applicants are not conceding in this

application that previously pending claims are not patentable over the cited references. Rather,

any alterations or characterizations are being made to facilitate expeditious prosecution of this

application. The Applicants reserve the right to pursue at a later date any previously pending or

other broader or narrower claims that capture any subject matter supported by the present

disclosure, including subject matter found to be specifically disclaimed herein or by any prior

prosecution. Accordingly, reviewers of this or any parent, child or related prosecution history

-5-

Application No.: 10/755,720

Filing Date: January 12, 2004

> shall not reasonably infer that the Applicants have made any disclaimers or disavowals of any subject matter supported by the present application.

## **CONCLUSION**

In view of Applicants' amendments to the Claims and the foregoing Remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any remaining concerns which might prevent the prompt allowance of the application, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number appearing below.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 14 October 2008

6075528 101308

By: Raymond D. Smith

Registration No. 55,634

Agent of Record

Customer No. 20995

(949) 760-0404

-6-